

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boyldso Alexandia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usrio.gov

01/22/2002	Sashikanth Chandrasekaran	50277-1763	2140		
			50277-1763 2140		
05/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			CHEN, TE Y		
ACE		APTIDIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			TATER NOMBER		
110			,		
	RMO TRUONG & ACE	RMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP ACE	RMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP CHEN ACE ART UNIT		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/056,71	10/056,716 CHANDRASEKARAN E  Examiner Art Unit		RAN ET AL.	
		Examiner				
		Susan Y. C	hen	2161		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EXTENSION STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EXEMPTED IN A CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tition. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the applie	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 27 February 200	6.			
,	_	This action is no				
,	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-15 and 23-44</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 and 23-30	o is/are withdrawn	from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 31-44 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
. 9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:			)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc			ion No		
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority doc</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>				l Stage	
	application from the International	•		sa in ans Nadona	Glage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	* **	ed.		
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/06 &amp; 10/17/05</u> .	,	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	

Art Unit: 2161

#### Response to Amendment

This office is in response to the amendment filed on 2/27/2006.

Claims 31-44 are pending for examination, claims 1-7 and 16-22, have been canceled, claims 8-15 and 23-30 have been withdrawn for consideration previously; claims 31-44 are newly added. Applicant is reminded to cancel all non-elected claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 31-44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 31 and 38, applicant fails to define the metes and bounds of claimed "a first resource" and "a second resource", never the less the claimed "a second resource different than said first resource", thus it render the claims to be indefinite. Furthermore, these claims extensively use the phrase "wherein", but the instant specification fails to provide actual steps to perform or limit these claims to a particular structure, thus, this term renders the claimed scope to be

Art Unit: 2161

indistinct. See *In re Markman v. Westview Instruments*, 52 F.3d 967, 980, 34 USPQ2d 1321, 1330 (Fed. Cir.) (*en banc*), *off 'd*, U.S., 116 Ct. 1384 (1996). To expedite the examination of instant invention, the examiner assumes that the first resource is a system resource object and a second resource is any system resource other than the object.

As to claims 32-37 and 39-44, these claims have the same defects as their base claims, hence are rejected for the same reason.

Because the ambiguous nature of instant invention, the following art rejection is as to the best of the examiner ascertain.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 31-44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chan (U.S. Patent No. 6,668,295)

Art Unit: 2161

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claims 31 and 38, Chan discloses the claimed features [e.g., Abstract, Fig.(s) 1-8 and associated texts], comprising:

a requester transmitting to a lock management system a request for a certain lock on a first resource [e.g., col. 3, lines 20-27];

receiving a response from the lock management system that denies the request for a certain lock on the first resource; wherein the response that denies the request for a certain lock on the first resource is caused by a blocking condition [e.g., col. 3, lines 44-47];

wherein the response includes data that identifies a second resource different than the first resource [e.g., col. 3, lines 47-52];

wherein the lock management system does not grant a lock on the second resource to the requester while the blocking condition is in effect [e.g., col. 3, lines 44-47]; and

the requester determining the blocking condition is no longer in effect by performing certain steps that include:

Art Unit: 2161

the requester transmitting to the lock management system a request for a lock on the second resource and the requester receiving from the lock management a response that grants the request for the lock on the second resource [e.g., col. 3, lines 47-57, lines 60-67, col. 4, lines 1-18].

As to claims 32 and 39, except the features disclosed in claims 31 and 38 respectively, Chan further discloses that the second resource is a transaction [e.g., the PROC\_1, PROC\_2, PROC\_3, Fig. 3] and the first resource is a resource locked for the transaction [e.g., the master resource object 306, Fig. 3].

As to claims 33 and 40, except the features disclosed in claims 32 and 39 respectively, Chan further discloses that the data that identifies a second resource includes a transaction id identifying the transaction [e.g., the process ID at col. 2, lines 50].

As to claims 34-35 and 41-42, except the features disclosed in claims 31 and 38 respectively, Chan further discloses that the first resource is a data block [e.g., col. 10, lines 13-16] and the blocking condition is based on the data block undergoing a marking block-split operation [e.g., the steps: 517, 520, 522, etc. of Fig. 5B and associated texts].

As to claims 36 and 43, except the features disclosed in claims 31 and 38 respectively, Chan further discloses that when the blocking condition no longer

Art Unit: 2161

prevents the lock management system from granting a lock on the first resource the first requester informing the lock management system that blocking condition is no longer in effect [e.g., Fig(s) 5A-5B and associated texts].

As to claims 37 and 44, except the features disclosed in claims 31 and 38 respectively, Chan further discloses that the first requester informing the lock management system that the blocking condition is no longer effect by making another request for a lock of the first resource, the request including data specifying that the blocking condition is no longer effect [e.g., Fig(s). 6A-6C and associated texts].

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Art Unit: 2161

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/056,716

Art Unit: 2161

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2161

May 8, 2006

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER